

1926 Soviet Law in America

In his famous “The Gulag Archipelago”, Aleksandr I Solzhenitsyn cited and quoted the famous “58.” That's how the Russian people referred to Article 58 of the USSR legal code of laws, rules, regulations, etc. These rules, regulations and laws were those of “The Revolutionary State”.

By way of context and understanding, it is important to remember that the nation of Russia, what we usually refer to as “Czarist Russia,” had just been overthrown in a revolution.

The revolutionaries then established their own laws, rules, and regulations, 140 Articles in total in “The Criminal Code of 1926”.

Naturally these laws were enforced against everyone, but especially against “counterrevolutionaries,” those considered to be supportive of the overthrown state and therefore not in support of the “Revolutionary State.” These counterrevolutionaries all had to go, and to the Gulags they went... by the millions.

Many if not most of the arrests, imprisonments and executions carried out by the Revolutionary State were done so under the stipulations and rules and regulations found in Article 58.

“Article 58 consisted of 14 sections:” explains Solzhenitsyn. Of course I won't list all of them, nor even several of them... just two; the two I can smell.

Solzhenitsyn says of Article 58, Section One, “... *any action directed toward the weakening of state power was considered to be counterrevolutionary.*”

Before we move on to the second section to be referenced, and in light of Solzhenitsyn's description of Section One, consider this quote from The United States' department of Homeland Security (DHS) as it describes those who will be considered “*domestic threat actors.*” Then compare it to the Soviet 58.

Compare the two...

From the DHS threat warning: “*The United States remains in a heightened threat environment fueled by several factors, including an online environment filled with false or misleading narratives and conspiracy theories, and other forms of [mis- dis- and mal-information](#) (MDM) introduced and/or amplified by foreign and domestic threat actors. These threat actors seek to exacerbate societal friction to sow discord and undermine public trust in government institutions to encourage unrest, which could potentially inspire acts of violence.*”

I talk English pretty good, and from my perspective, there's not a dime's worth of difference between them, the Soviet 58 and DHS.

So if I discuss and then have the temerity to share current medical information and/or treatment – the biggest thing on anyone's mind for the last two years – in terms of what I discover from a medical professional(s), then according to DHS I am, “...*exacerbat[ing] societal friction... sow[ing] discord and undermin[ing] public trust in government institutions... encourag[ing] unrest which could potentially*

inspire acts of violence”.

Really?

They refer to physical violence of course, for academic and intellectual violence must of necessity have already won...

The next section of Article 58 requires a slightly longer quote from Solzhenitsyn and is perhaps even more eerily similar to contemporary US policy. Says Solzhenitsyn:

“But there was no section in Article 58 which was interpreted so broadly and with so ardent a revolutionary conscience as Section 10. Its definition was, ‘Propaganda or agitation, containing an appeal for the overthrow, subverting, or weakening of the Soviet Power... and equally the dissemination or preparation or possession of literary materials of similar content.’”

Notice the “or” ... OR a weakening of power; in that case Soviet Power, in our case, Administration Power. One needn't plot overthrow or subversion, just a weakening of Federal/Centralized/Administrative or Executive Power. This would include naturally, the empowering of the people over the Government. The Soviets could/would not allow that... apparently neither can we.

These types of actions – not the “58” actions but certainly the DHS actions – are being used against us today in the name of public health, thereby carrying with them a certain fear factor resulting in enhanced compliance.

It is under this guise of public health, and in the “58” spirit of the DHS threat warning that the Surgeon General of the United States said the following:

“Health misinformation is an urgent threat to public health. It can cause confusion, sow mistrust, and undermine public health efforts, including our ongoing work to end the COVID-19 pandemic.

*“As Surgeon General, my job is to help people stay safe and healthy, and **without limiting the spread of health misinformation**, American lives are at risk. From the **tech and social media companies who must do more to address the spread on their platforms**, to all of us identifying and avoiding sharing misinformation, tackling this challenge will require an all-of-society approach, but it is critical for the long-term health of our nation.”* (emphasis mine).

Look at this again: “Without limiting the spread of health misinformation, American lives are at risk.” Are you kidding me? Saving American lives by means of censorship and information control?

Really?

The Surgeon General also calls on the government to: “Prioritize early detection of misinformation ‘super-spreaders’ and repeat offenders,” with Big Tech giants directed to “[i]mpose clear consequences for accounts that repeatedly violate platform policies.”¹

1 <https://www.dailywire.com/news/biden-surgeon-general-calls-on-big-tech-to-impose-consequences-for-violating-platform-policies-proactively-provide-info-from-credible-so> The SG Murthy's remarks are in fuller form here.

Do you not smell the same Article 58 control?

Can you not recognize when you're being told what you can share and not share, what you can discuss and not discuss, what you can know and not know... essentially what you can think and not think?

Can you not feel the weight of the United States Government and its highest officials in this Soviet style control of just plain data and the information that can be gleaned from it?

In the words of Serbian strongman, Slobidan Milosevic, *"He who controls information controls power."*

You must recognize totalitarianism when you see it, loved ones.

You are a free moral agent, called upon by God to be controlled internally by Him and His Spirit, not controlled externally by a tyrant.

It is when humans refuse to be controlled internally by God that they need to be controlled by some outside force; prison for example, or perhaps a tyrant.