

When describing the jury composition of a very popular, contemporary trial, the news reporter said that the jury was comprised of “*six men and six women as they identified at time of trial*”. When he first said this, I said to myself, “*What is he talking ab...*” and then it dawned on me.

Whoever edits his news copy and approves it before he says it on the air, required him to avoid identifying the jurors as men or women.

Was the editor afraid of lawsuits?

Was he or she actually, personally averse to *accurate* gender identification?

Was he or she working to assist in the fluidization of gender in the minds of all the network's listeners?

Was he or she just following the orders from above, which orders may have had any, all or some of the motivations listed here?

Who knows. What's the difference? It's all the same. Here's how.

We all know the expression, “*A wolf in sheep's clothing*”. It brings to mind one whom has nefarious intent, pretending innocence, in order to carry out the nefarious intentions. It's clear, is it not?

But the premise upon which the expression is predicated is that detection of wolves and sheep is actually possible. Were detection not possible then no discernment of any kind could take place. What of decision making? For that matter, what of preference? Are we no longer to have preference?¹

Naturally this dizzying blather brings up questions of reality. Is there any? By whom is it to be determined?

You have all heard me speak of the “*necessary godlessness*” of communism, have you not? Let's consider it here.

There is no question that our culture is moving away from Western style “*democracy*”² and toward a socialist and/or communist form of governance.

In order to accomplish this, godlessness is required. In order to accomplish godlessness, transcendence³ must be eliminated. Should reality be transcendentally, objectively determined, it cannot be locally, subjectively determined... but for the *wolf*, it must be locally, subjectively determined...

In order to bring about godlessness, arbiters of reality must be earthbound, subjective, and able to eventually legislate so. That which alludes to any transcendent, objective reality must be *eliminated in* the common mind, and eventually *legislated out* of the common mind... think *thought police* and *re-education camps*, designed to “*get your mind right*”.

To be sure, should the purveyors of this thinking have a switch that they could pull in order to

1 I will not go into it in this article, but there have been reports lately that the “*transgender*” community is accusing “*cis*” men of discrimination if they prefer “*cis*” women over “*transgender*” women... preference be damned.

2 Technically and actually, the USA is not a democracy but a democratically elected representative republic.

3 Simply defined as that which is outside/beyond time, space and number.

accomplish this, they would. Rather, what they have is just a temperature control... the ability to increase the temperature of the water in which the frog is sitting, one degree at a time.

Calling the jurors of that trial men and women "*as they identified at time of trial*" is a godless thing, with the intention of deliberately propagating godlessness. Read that thus... the temperature just went up another degree... are you ready to jump out yet?!?

In the natural world, this is about supporting communist totalitarianism. In the spiritual world, this is about sending souls to hell... what do you think the necessary godlessness is about anyway... money? Think again.

Make no mistake... decisions made with the intentions of blurring reality are godless. In our culture, the push for godlessness is a necessary one in order to usher out the old... representative republicanism, and usher in the new... godless communism.

Do you recognize wolves? I do.

Be not deceived. Godlessness is godlessness is godlessness... naturally and spiritually.

Pastor